

Managed Portfolio Service

Responsible MPS 2

As at 31 March 2026

Market commentary:

March was dominated by the outbreak of conflict in Iran, which caused significant market upheaval and brought an abrupt end to what had been a broadly positive start to the year. Rising geopolitical risk had already been a defining feature of the early months of 2026 – first with the US intervention in Venezuela, and then with President Trump’s posturing towards Greenland – but the escalation in Iran swiftly eclipsed both to command global attention.

The principal channel through which the conflict has affected markets and the wider global economy is oil prices. Initial market reaction centred on the implications for inflation; however, as the conflict has dragged on, the consequences for economic growth have increasingly been reflected in forecasts.

Bond markets have faced a challenging reversal in 2026. Expectations of inflation returning to 2% targets have required meaningful upward revision in light of sharply rising oil prices, and central banks have responded with a notably hawkish tone – moving swiftly to convince markets of their willingness to raise interest rates decisively. This has prompted a broad repricing across fixed income. Whilst the most pronounced volatility and yield rises have been concentrated at the shorter end of the yield curve, it is longer-dated bonds that have delivered the weakest total returns.

Equity markets have been volatile throughout the quarter, responding to an unpredictable flow of news regarding the trajectory and potential escalation of the conflict. Whilst most markets have felt its effects, first-quarter returns have also been shaped by how individual markets were positioned heading into the crisis.

In the US, a softer start to the year somewhat obscures what has been a degree of relative resilience since the conflict began. Japanese equities delivered strong gains after a decisive election result provided a clear mandate for the continuation of economic reform. Within emerging markets,

South Korea has been a standout performer, benefiting from growing appreciation of its role within the AI supply chain. Closer to home, UK equities have outperformed, supported by the market’s heavyweight exposure to the energy sector, with the major oil companies buoyed by rising crude prices.

As with any event that dominates market attention, the range of potential outcomes is wide and largely contingent on political decisions that are, by their nature, difficult to forecast. Scenarios span from a relatively swift de-escalation – which would provide immediate relief to risk assets and take pressure off energy prices – through to a prolonged conflict that continues to weigh on growth expectations and keeps central banks in a difficult position. The latter would present the more challenging environment to navigate: one in which policymakers face the unenviable task of responding to inflation driven by factors largely outside their influence, whilst simultaneously managing the risk of tipping economies into recession.

In the near term, oil prices are likely to remain the key variable for both inflation dynamics and market sentiment. Beyond energy, however, the conflict has reinforced a broader reassessment of supply chain vulnerabilities, defence spending trajectories, and energy security – themes that are likely to shape investment narratives well beyond the immediate volatility.

Ultimately, against a backdrop of elevated geopolitical and event risk, ensuring that portfolios are resilient across a range of scenarios – and positioned to deliver an appropriate journey for clients – feels more important than ever.

Equity performance - last quarter



Fixed income performance - last quarter



Portfolio commentary


The first quarter of 2026 proved eventful for investors. The outbreak of conflict in Iran disrupted both bond and equity markets, driving oil prices and inflationary expectations sharply higher and prompting a hawkish shift in tone from central banks globally. Bond markets repriced meaningfully in response, with UK gilts particularly affected as yields rose sharply. The portfolio's allocation to money market funds helped dampen this volatility, providing a source of stable returns through a turbulent period.

Credit markets experienced a broad sell-off as geopolitical uncertainty made investors increasingly risk averse, sending spreads wider from historically tight levels. This was most visible in the portfolio's UK and global corporate bond allocations. Further down the credit spectrum, high yield bonds also lost ground over the quarter, though their higher coupons provided some cushion relative to investment grade, limiting the extent of the drawdown.

Within equities, the portfolio's responsible investment screening presented a headwind. The exclusion of energy companies meant it did not participate in the sharp rally in oil stocks that followed the supply shock caused by the closure of the Strait of Hormuz. While this weighed on relative performance in the short term, it reflects a deliberate and considered approach to investment that prioritises sustainable long-term outcomes.

Overall, AJ Bell Responsible MPS 2 returned -2.08% over the quarter.


Q1 2026 best/worst performers



Performance

Cumulative (%)	3 months	6 months	1 year	3 years	5 years	Since inception
Responsible MPS 2	-2.08	0.29	7.99	17.69	16.04	17.61
IA Mixed Investment 20-60% Shares	-0.99	1.72	8.95	21.88	17.86	19.44

The above table displays the total return of the fund on a cumulative basis. This is taken from the most recent month end.



Past performance is not indicative of future performance. The value of investments may go down as well as up and the income generated by investments is not guaranteed and may fluctuate. You may receive back less than the amount that you invested.

Responsible MPS 2


As at 31 March 2026

Portfolio snapshot


Number of holdings	16
Inception date	01 Mar 2021
Underlying OCF	0.23%
Annual Investment Management Charge	0.15%
Indicative Total OCF	0.38%

Top 10 holdings

Holding	Weight (%)
iShares MSCI USA SRI ETF	16.83
UBS MSCI UK IMI Socially Responsible ETF	9.74
L&G ESG GBP Corp Bond ETF	8.00
iShares ESG Overseas Corporate Bond Index	7.00
Xtrackers ESG MSCI USA ETF	6.36
iShares Ultrashort GBP Corporate Bond ESG ETF	6.00
iShares US TIPS 0-5yr	6.00
BlackRock Institutional Sterling Liquidity Environmentally Aware	5.00
L&G ESG Emerging Markets Government Bond	4.81
Invesco Global High Yield Corporate Bond ESG Climate Transition UCITS ETF	4.32



Asset allocation (%)



Equity	49.31
North America equity	23.19
UK equity	12.28
Japan equity	4.01
Emerging markets equity	3.50
Europe ex-UK equity	2.46
Emerging markets ex-China equity	2.07
Other	1.79
Fixed Income	38.58
Global government bonds (GBP hedged)	10.00
UK corporate bonds	8.00
Global corporate bonds (GBP hedged)	7.00
Emerging market debt	4.81
Global high yield bonds (GBP hedged)	4.77
Other	4.00
Cash	12.11
Cash	0.11

Fixed income breakdown (%)



GBP Bonds	86.44
Global government bonds (GBP hedged)	33.90
UK corporate bonds	27.12
Global high yield bonds (GBP hedged)	11.86
UK government bonds	6.78
UK index-linked gilts	6.78
International Bonds	13.56
Emerging market debt	13.56

Equity breakdown (%)



Sector	
Technology	22.92
Financial Services	20.09
Industrials	12.51
Consumer Cyclical	11.03
Healthcare	9.88
Communication Services	8.83
Consumer Defensive	7.08
Basic Materials	3.18
Real Estate	2.60
Utilities	1.66
Other	0.22

Indicative Total Ongoing Charges Figure (OCF) is inclusive of the AJ Bell Investments Annual Management Charge (fee of 0.15%) and the ongoing charges of underlying investments. MPS transaction costs reflect the aggregated transaction costs reported by underlying products. In some instances products may deploy pricing mechanisms which can result in negative costs. Additional costs will be incurred while using the MPS. These include (where applicable) platform costs and dealing costs. Any charges payable to your financial adviser will apply in addition. Therefore, the actual performance of your portfolio might differ from the stated past performance. There is no set minimum investment into the MPS, however, the structure of the underlying investments means that the portfolios work more efficiently for investments above a certain size. For this MPS, this is around £40,000.

Due to its multi-asset nature, no financial instrument or index represents a fair benchmark for the portfolio. However, to give context and enable an objective assessment of the portfolio's performance, the IA Mixed Investment 20-60% Shares is included for reference. The portfolio does not aim to track the IA sector as a benchmark. Performance is calculated on a net of fees basis.

Performance reflects the headline model and includes the underlying costs of the holdings and the annual investment management charge. It does not include any transaction costs that would have been incurred through rebalancing or changing any underlying holdings. This model was launched on 1 March 2021. Cash performance is measured using the AJ Bell Investcentre platform interest rates.

Allocation and performance information contained in this document is representative of the standard Responsible MPS 2 model held on AJ Bell Investcentre. The implementation of this model may vary when held via other platforms, for example, where access to certain share classes is restricted. This may lead to differences in allocation, performance and cost.

Based on target weights at portfolio rebalance. Totals may not sum to 100% due to rounding.



Past performance is not indicative of future performance. The value of investments may go down as well as up and the income generated by investments is not guaranteed and may fluctuate. You may receive back less than the amount that you invested.

Where practical the Responsible Screened Growth fund invests in products tracking MSCI Socially Responsible Investing (SRI) indexes for equity exposure. These indexes exclude companies with certain controversial business involvements and also utilise MSCI's Environmental Social Governance (ESG) ratings and ESG Controversy assessments. For further details please see MSCI's latest SRI Indexes Methodology document.

This information is for indicative purposes only and is not intended, and should not be construed, as investment advice. The information contained in this document has been taken from the sources stated and is believed to be reliable and accurate, but without further investigation cannot be warranted or guaranteed to be wholly correct. The views and opinions expressed in this document are not forecasts or recommendations in relation to investment decisions. The information and data presented in this document were believed to be correct at the time of writing and we are not liable for any subsequent changes.

©2026 Morningstar. All Rights Reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/ or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.